

Fish Migration Patterns in Riverine Ecosystems

A Telemetry Study

¹ Dr. Pascal Riviere, Université de la Réunion Island, France.

² Dr. Isabelle Laurent, Université de la Réunion Island, France.

Abstract: The narrow channel gives fixed reference focuses to moving fish, and waterways' unidirectional stream gives a strong orientational sign. Inside a dendritic stream framework, there might be significant geological and fleeting variety in the physical and synthetic qualities of the stream, which could be confusing to migrants but also aid in branch recognition. In the end, migration in rivers must have both an upstream and a downstream component. Early life-history phases typically exhibit the former, albeit not always, and vice versa. Upstream migration might occur during the day or at night. Despite its enormous potential, telemetry is currently neglected in the field of fish habitat study and management. We propose this is because telemetry data and management decisions frequently differ in scale, and fish telemetry initiatives are frequently designed to identify fish movement rather than systematically assessing habitat selection.

Keywords: Fish Migration; Telemetry; Riverine Ecosystem.

(Submitted: September 13, 2024; Revised: October 18, 2024; Accepted: November 20, 2024; Published: December 27, 2024)

I. Introduction

Fish populations are extremely dependent upon the qualities of the aquatic habitat which supports all their biological processes. This reliance is most noticeable in migratory fish, which need distinct habitats for the primary stages of their life cycle—reproduction, juvenile production, development, and sexual maturation. To survive, the species must migrate across different environments. The dynamic process of migration is closely linked to global economic, social, political, and technological changes that affect a sizable portion of the world's population and involve a wide range of high-priority policy issues, according to the extensive and continuously expanding body of evidence on mobility and migration. Throughout human history, this demographic dynamic has persisted. Migration has historically been associated with cultural evolution, social organization, colonization of new regions, food quest, pilgrimage, trade, and invasion. People migrated mostly for religious and commercial reasons in pre-colonial times, which over time promoted the spread of ideas, the development of cultures, and the diffusion of human civilization. Research on migration trends and their effects on all facets of society, including migrants, their families, communities, and nations, is ongoing due to its growing significance. The fish's physiological condition, which is impacted by extrinsic triggers and hormones like corticosteroid and thyroid, determines when migration occurs in rivers. In figure 1 shows the Fish Migration below.




Figure 1: Fish Migration

Environmental stimuli like water and flow, temperature, or light can either cause development or modify the speed of development and go about as a "controller" of relocation, or they can alter a fish's course and go about as a "chief" of movement. Certain relocations in waterway lake frameworks appear to be affected by attractive and heavenly signals, in spite of the way that the utilization of milestones has not been completely examined. Direction to the waterway ebb and flow is certainly the main "chief" of upstream movement. When matched with the co-job of distinguishing special stream fragrances and smells from conspecifics, it is the most examined and perhaps most significant direction system for upstream relocation, particularly for anadromous salmonids. The subtleties of the fragrances, the engraving system (to engineered and normal mixtures), and the direction instrument itself are as yet muddled. Hereditarily decided qualities well defined for a populace might impact reactions to natural boosts, for example, the fry of lake delta and outlet generating populaces' response to water flow. Riverine relocation control frameworks are likely organized in progressive systems or successions that relate to a populace's ontogeny and transformative history. The versatile meaning of relocation isn't surely known, yet it is reasonable because of the way that ideal natural surroundings for various capabilities, including development, multiplication, and endurance, are ontogenetically, occasionally, and geographically distinct. Numerous fish species visit a particular area of the ocean each year. Because of this, some species are actual migratory, making frequent trips across vast distances. As they grow into juveniles, fish often leave the spawning area and make their way to the feeding area. The adult begins to migrate from the spawning place to the feeding ground in the meanwhile. The fishes' migratory patterns are entirely determined by ocean currents and oceanographic conditions. Larvae, young, and eggs follow the stream of the ocean. Adult fish migrate in the direction of the current, even if they may migrate against it. It is entirely dependent upon changes in the environment.

II. Methodology

The ability to swiftly identify individual fish has significantly improved because to developments in telemetry technology. The capacity to utilize radio transmitters (labels) that have a particular code connected to a common recurrence up to 521 codes per frequency, depending on the company is a crucial consideration when selecting equipment for a study such as this one (Thorstad et al., 2013). Since this study used digitally encoded radio telemetry equipment from LOTEK Wireless, INC. (New Market, Ontario, Canada), the receiver fine tuning technique is unique to LOTEK equipment. In this study, digitally encoded radio transmitters, two antennas tuned to the transmitter's frequency, and an SRX_400 W5 scanning telemetry receiver were used. Static receivers can be used to record the movements of tagged fish coming into and going out of larger systems. Programming and adjusting the mobile tracking receiver for the telemetry equipment was done. The scan time of the receiver, which measures the amount of time it takes to listen for radio transmitters on a specific recurrence, was set to be 1.5 times the burst pace of the transmitter. That is, for a transmitter with a 3 s burst rate, the output time would be set at 4.5 seconds. Furthermore, the recipient can be set up to distinguish just a single recurrence if necessary. Whether checking for two frequencies or only one, in the event that many labeled fish are recognized, many codes for a specific recurrence will be found and shown.

III. Patterns of Fish Migration in Riverine Ecosystem

Rivers offer a multitude of useful services and have long been considered an essential resource for human development. They provide us with transportation, irrigation, hydropower, food, and water (Postel & Richter, 2012). Nevertheless, the many activities that have occurred throughout human history have frequently had negative effects on systems of rivers. Even though it is easy to see how human activity affects the quality of water, alterations in river connectivity pose an equally important challenge. Weirs, dams, sluices, and diversions are examples of human infrastructure that can split a river and limit the flow of species along its course (Best, 2019). Population reductions may occur when these barriers impede access to appropriate habitat for juvenile life stages or reproductive behaviors. Aquatic species populations may also become disjointed. These populations may experience reproductive isolation or inbreeding, making it

difficult for them to adapt to biotic and abiotic environmental stresses (Lucas & Baras, 2008). Along with changing the quantity, quality, and diversity of suitable habitat, anthropogenic impediments also change the flow's velocity, volume, and turbidity. Depending on their life stage and behavior, aquatic creatures frequently prefer or need varied depths, substrates, and flow rates. Consequently, river connection has significant effects on species diversity and population dynamics, making it a key concern for conservation ecology.

Seasonal changes or resource demands at various life stages are common causes of migration (Smith, 2012). Many species of aquatic animals, especially fish, migrate for spawning either anadromously (from freshwater to saltwater) or catadromously (from saltwater to freshwater), as in the case of salmon. After that, adults travel back, and spawning sites serve as nurseries for young life stages. Certain species may experience population decreases and local extinctions if their migratory routes are obstructed. Not all species, nevertheless, move to or from saltwater areas. "Potadromous" refers to fish that migrate within a freshwater river basin. The size of their home range and the extent of their movement are still mostly unknown for many of these species. Fish migration falls into the following categories:

- **Oceanodromy:** Movement in saltwater environments is represented by this kind of migration. Fish species classified as oceanodromous live their whole lives in saltwater. The Atlantic Bluefin Tuna (*Thunnus thynnus*) is one example.
- **Potamodromy:** Movement in freshwater environments is represented by this kind of migration. Both longitudinal (upstream and downstream migration) and lateral (movement from river to floodplain and vice versa) migration are possible. Fish species that are potamodromous live their whole lives in freshwater. Barbel (*Barbus barbus*) is one example.
- **Diadromy:** Throughout their life cycles, diadromous fish species move between freshwater and saltwater environments. Different kinds of diadromous fish exist.
 - ✓ Anadromous: Fish species that migrate upstream to freshwater rivers for reproduction spend the most of their lives in saltwater. Beluga sturgeon (*Huso huso*) is one example.
 - ✓ Catadromous: As juveniles, catadromous fish species enter freshwater environments. They return to saltwater for reproduction after they reach maturity. The European eel (*Anguilla anguilla*) is one example.
 - ✓ amphidromous: Fish that migrate between fresh and saltwater for purposes other than spawning are known as amphidromous. Torrentfish (*Cheimarrichthys fosteri*) is one example (Radinger & Wolter, 2014).

IV. Challenges and Considerations for Telemetry Study in Fish Migration

Acoustic telemetry has become a popular tool for studying aquatic animal ecology because it provides a wealth of data without the large sample size required for traditional mark-recapture methods. The fish were caught using a combination of gill netting, electrofishing, and angling, with acoustic transmitters positioned in the body cavity (Donaldson et al., 2014). Using acoustic telemetry for fish environment studies requires cautious thought of a couple of critical issues and factors connected with concentrate on plan, examination, and union. Recipient situation and location inclusion are maybe the main contemplations while investigating territory use or the executives issues. Whether involving a long-lasting network for acoustic telemetry, a focal point plan, or a progression of doors, contrasts in recognition inclusion across natural surroundings might prompt predispositions in examining endeavors that could modify concentrate on results and biological ends. Given the expected utility of matching HIS models with acoustic telemetry information, recipient position for these applications ought to incorporate an irregular choice of accessible living spaces (i.e., through irregular or methodical arbitrary plans), as opposed to choosing regions where specialists accept the species may be or regions with high discovery proficiency to recognize development. The impact of receiver placement on detection efficiency should also be thoroughly assessed during the

design process (Matley et al., 2023). In aquatic habitats, detection range and efficiency vary significantly over time and space, often decreasing in shallow profundities because of actual design, wind, flows, warm separation, creature and human commotion, and other factors. With practically all telemetry data, spatial-temporal autocorrelation is another common problem because people's and (or locations') space use is continuously monitored.

V. Conclusion

Telemetry is empowering extraordinary experiences into fish development and space use. In any case, regardless of a few extraordinary models, it isn't generally utilized in environment science. We estimate that this is on the grounds that telemetry studies will generally zero in on portraying the development examples of fish as opposed to giving an itemized depiction of their territory connections. Other huge obstructions to involving telemetry in fish environment research incorporate global positioning framework plan, varieties in discovery productivity, and the size of territory/space use estimation and demonstrating. Despite the fact that these methodologies frequently require more exertion and monetary assets, they are advantageous when achievable. Among the examinations that offer remarkable instances of telemetry applications to fish territory science are the size of fish natural surroundings necessities, conduct and physiological components that limit environment use, collaborations of ecological circumstances that impact space use, and spatial-fleeting forecasts of fish natural surroundings dissemination and choice. Telemetry research has so far for the most part been observational in light of the fact that it is hard to recognize all the biotic and abiotic factors that might be influencing fish space utilization. This makes it impossible to examine certain facets of fish ecology, like habitat selection.

References

- [1] Thorstad, E. B., Rikardsen, A. H., Alp, A., & Økland, F. (2013). The use of electronic tags in fish research—an overview of fish telemetry methods. *Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 13(5), 881-896. https://doi.org/10.4194/1303-2712-v13_5_13
- [2] Postel, S., & Richter, B. (2012). *Rivers for life: managing water for people and nature*. Island press.
- [3] Best, J. (2019). Anthropogenic stresses on the world's big rivers. *Nature Geoscience*, 12(1), 7-21. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-018-0262-x>
- [4] Lucas, M., & Baras, E. (2008). *Migration of freshwater fishes*. John Wiley & Sons.
- [5] Smith, R. J. F. (2012). *The control of fish migration* (Vol. 17). Springer Science & Business Media. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-82348-0>
- [6] Radinger, J., & Wolter, C. (2014). Patterns and predictors of fish dispersal in rivers. *Fish and fisheries*, 15(3), 456-473. <https://doi.org/10.1111/faf.12028>
- [7] Donaldson, M. R., Hinch, S. G., Suski, C. D., Fisk, A. T., Heupel, M. R., & Cooke, S. J. (2014). Making connections in aquatic ecosystems with acoustic telemetry monitoring. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 12(10), 565-573. <https://doi.org/10.1890/130283>
- [8] Matley, J. K., Klinard, N. V., Larocque, S. M., McLean, M. F., Brownscombe, J. W., Raby, G. D., ... & Barbosa Martins, A. P. (2023). Making the most of aquatic animal tracking: a review of complementary methods to bolster acoustic telemetry. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries*, 33(1), 35-54. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11160-022-09738-3>